

| ients? | DB | Formality of the | e language causes barriers |
|---|-----------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| ilter them for re | elevant content | | |
| Don't write SE | EMP post hoc | | |
| Look-out for familiar patterns - ask expected questions | | | |
| Don't gallop o without the er | 0 | IM | Echoed this |
| l a story (Micha | ael Vinarcik) | | |
| uestions are b | eing raised | | |
| /S | | | |
| orkshop / Conv | ersation, etc) | _ | |
| ective test | | | |
| ss through a rease quality? | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| | RK | "Keep the audience in mind" |
|-------|---|---|
| IM | | How do we know reviewers are up to the job? |
| DMN | See: Legal codification of language (as wasted effort!) | |
| | \prec | See: Haddon-Cave Review |
| DB | | Can improve model with ontology (Framework) |
| WS | | |
| | DMN | Engineering not Science (Good Enough) |
| age a | across" | |
| | | |

Published and commented on

Limited to 1 hour

Over time the model became primary (& sole) source

Showed rework in model

Validation - check assumptions

IM "Optimal point of relevance"

NASA Standard 7009 Asks DMN these questions

AP Also context

Fowler and others

How will customers receive and process models?